

MONOMORPHISM OPERATOR AND PERPENDICULAR OPERATOR

KEYAN SONG[†], PU ZHANG^{*}

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Shanghai 200240, PR China

ABSTRACT. For a quiver Q , a k -algebra A , and a full subcategory \mathcal{X} of $A\text{-mod}$, the monomorphism category $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ is introduced. The main result says that if T is an A -module such that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow D(A_A) \rightarrow 0$ with each $T_i \in \text{add}(T)$, then $\text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$; and if T is cotilting, then $kQ \otimes_k T$ is a unique cotilting Λ -module, up to multiplicities of indecomposable direct summands, such that $\text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$.

As applications, the category of the Gorenstein-projective $(kQ \otimes_k A)$ -modules is characterized as $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{GP}(A))$ if A is Gorenstein; the contravariantly finiteness of $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ can be described; and a sufficient and necessary condition for $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ being of finite type is given.

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification. 16G10, 16E65, 16G50, 16G60.

Key words. *monomorphism category, cotilting modules, derived category, contravariantly finite, finite type*

1. Introduction

1.1. With a quiver Q and a k -algebra A , one can associate the monomorphism category $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ ([LZ]). If $Q = \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$ it is called *the submodule category* and denoted by $\mathcal{S}(A)$. If $Q = n\bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet$ it is called *the filtered chain category* in D. Simson [S]; and it is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_n(A)$ in [Z].

G. Birkhoff [B] initiates the study of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}/\langle p^t \rangle)$. C. M. Ringel and M. Schmidmeier ([RS1] - [RS3]) have extensively studied $\mathcal{S}(A)$. In particular, the Auslander-Reiten theory of $\mathcal{S}(A)$ is explicitly given ([RS2]). Since then the monomorphism category receives more attention. In [Z] relations among $\mathcal{S}_n(A)$ and the Gorenstein-projective modules and cotilting theory are given. D. Kussin, H. Lenzing, and H. Meltzer [KLM1] establish a surprising link between the stable submodule category and the singularity theory via weighted projective lines (see also [KLM2]). In [XZZ] the Auslander-Reiten theory of $\mathcal{S}(A)$ is extended to $\mathcal{S}_n(A)$. For more related works we refer to [A], [RW], [SW], [Mo], [C1], [C2], and [RZ].

1.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$. We also define the monomorphism category $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$. For an A -module T , let ${}^\perp T$ be the full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$ consisting of those modules X with $\text{Ext}_A^i(X, T) = 0$, $\forall i \geq 1$. The main result of this paper gives a reciprocity of the monomorphism operator $\text{Mon}(Q, -)$ and the left perpendicular operator ${}^\perp$. Namely, if T is an A -module such

^{*} The corresponding author pzhang@sjtu.edu.cn.

Supported by the NSF of China (11271251), and Doctoral Fund of Ministry of Education (20120073110058).

[†] skyysh@outlook.com.

that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow D(A_A) \rightarrow 0$ with each $T_i \in \text{add}(T)$, then $\text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$ (Theorem 3.1); and if T is a cotilting A -module, then $kQ \otimes_k T$ is a unique cotilting Λ -module, up to multiplicities of indecomposable direct summands, such that $\text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$ (Theorem 4.1).

Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 generalize [Z, Theorem 3.1(i) and (ii)] for $Q = \bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet$. However, the arguments in [Z] can not be generalized to the general case (cf. 3.1 and 4.1 below). Here we adopt new treatments, in particular by using an adjoint pair $(\text{Coker}_i, S(i) \otimes -)$ and Lemma 4.4.

1.3. Our main results have some applications, which generalize the corresponding results in [Z].

The category $\mathcal{GP}(A)$ of the Gorenstein-projective A -modules is Frobenius (cf. [AB], [AR], [EJ]), and hence the corresponding stable category is triangulated ([H]). If A is Gorenstein (i.e., $\text{inj.dim}_A A < \infty$ and $\text{inj.dim} A_A < \infty$), then $\mathcal{GP}(A) = {}^\perp A$ ([EJ, Corollary 11.5.3]). Taking $T = {}_A A$ in Theorem 3.1 we have $\mathcal{GP}(A) = \text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{GP}(A))$ if A is Gorenstein.

M. Auslander and I. Reiten [AR, Theorem 5.5(a)] have established a deep relation between resolving contravariantly finite subcategories and cotilting theory, by asserting that \mathcal{X} is resolving and contravariantly finite with $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = A\text{-mod}$ if and only if $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp T$ for some cotilting A -module T , where $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is the full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$ consisting of those modules X , such that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with each $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$. It is natural to ask when is $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ contravariantly finite in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$? As an application of Theorem 4.1 and [AR, Theorem 5.5(a)], we see that $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ is resolving and contravariantly finite with $\widehat{\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})} = \Lambda\text{-mod}$ if and only if \mathcal{X} is resolving and contravariantly finite with $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = A\text{-mod}$ (Theorem 5.1).

It is well-known that the representation type of $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is different from the ones of A and of $\Lambda = kQ \otimes_k A$. For example, $k[x]/\langle x^t \rangle$ is of finite type, while $k(\bullet \rightarrow \bullet) \otimes_k k[x]/\langle x^t \rangle$ is of finite type if and only if $t \leq 3$, and $\mathcal{S}_2(k[x]/\langle x^t \rangle)$ is of finite type if and only if $t \leq 5$. If $t > 6$ then $\mathcal{S}_2(k[x]/\langle x^t \rangle)$ is of “wild” type, while $\mathcal{S}_2(k[x]/\langle x^6 \rangle)$ is of “tame” type ([S], Theorems 5.2 and 5.5). A complete classification of indecomposable objects of $\mathcal{S}_2(k[x]/\langle x^6 \rangle)$ is exhibited in [RS3]. Inspired by Auslander’s classical result: A is representation-finite if and only if there is an A -generator-cogenerator M such that $\text{gl.dim End}_A(M) \leq 2$ ([Au], Chapter III), by using Theorem 4.1 we prove that $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is of finite type if and only if there is a generator and relative cogenerator M of $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ such that $\text{gl.dim End}_\Lambda(M) \leq 2$ (Theorem 6.1).

2. Preliminaries on monomorphism categories

In this section we fix notations, and give necessary definitions and facts.

2.1. Throughout this paper, k is a field, Q is a finite acyclic quiver (i.e., a finite quiver without oriented cycles), and A is a finite-dimensional k -algebra. Denote by kQ the path algebra of Q over k . Put $\Lambda = kQ \otimes_k A$, and $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$. Let $P(i)$ (resp. $I(i)$) be the indecomposable projective (resp. injective) kQ -module, and $S(i)$ the simple kQ -module, at $i \in Q_0$. By $A\text{-mod}$ we denote the category of finite-dimensional left A -modules. For an A -module T , let $\text{add}(T)$ be the full the subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$ consisting of all the direct sums of indecomposable direct summands of T .

2.2. Given a finite acyclic quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, e)$ with Q_0 the set of vertices and Q_1 the set of arrows, we write the conjunction of a path p of Q from right to left, and let $s(p)$ and $e(p)$ be respectively the starting and the ending point of p . The notion of representations of Q over k can be extended as follows. By definition ([LZ]), a representation X of Q over A is a datum $X = (X_i, X_\alpha, i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1)$, or simply $X = (X_i, X_\alpha)$, where each X_i is an A -module, and each $X_\alpha : X_{s(\alpha)} \rightarrow X_{e(\alpha)}$ is an A -map. It is a *finite-dimensional representation* if so is each X_i . We call X_i the *i -th branch* of X . A morphism f from X to Y is a datum $(f_i, i \in Q_0)$, where $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ is an A -map for $i \in Q_0$, such that for each arrow $\alpha : j \rightarrow i$ the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_j & \xrightarrow{f_j} & Y_j \\ X_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow Y_\alpha \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & Y_i \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

commutes. Denote by $\text{Rep}(Q, A)$ the category of finite-dimensional representations of Q over A . Note that a sequence of morphisms $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{Rep}(Q, A)$ is exact if and only if $0 \rightarrow X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} Y_i \xrightarrow{g_i} Z_i \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $A\text{-mod}$ for each $i \in Q_0$.

Lemma 2.1. ([LZ, Lemma 2.1]) *We have an equivalence $\Lambda\text{-mod} \cong \text{Rep}(Q, A)$ of categories.*

In the following we will identify a Λ -module with a representation of Q over A . If $T \in A\text{-mod}$ and $M \in kQ\text{-mod}$ with $M = (M_i, i \in Q_0, M_\alpha, \alpha \in Q_1) \in \text{Rep}(Q, k)$, then $M \otimes_k T \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$ with $M \otimes_k T = (M_i \otimes_k T = T^{\dim_k M_i}, i \in Q_0, M_\alpha \otimes_k \text{Id}_T, \alpha \in Q_1) \in \text{Rep}(Q, A)$.

2.3. Here is the central notion of this paper.

Definition 2.2. (i) ([LZ]) *A representation $X = (X_i, X_\alpha, i \in Q_0, \alpha \in Q_1) \in \text{Rep}(Q, A)$ is a monic representation of Q over A , or a monic Λ -module, if $\delta_i(X)$ is an injective A -map for each $i \in Q_0$, where*

$$\delta_i(X) = (X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in Q_1, e(\alpha)=i} : \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} X_{s(\alpha)} \longrightarrow X_i.$$

Denote by $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}(Q, A)$ consisting of all the monic representations of Q over A , which is called the monomorphism category of A over Q .

(ii) *Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$. Denote by $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ consisting of all the monic representations $X = (X_i, X_\alpha)$, such that $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\text{Coker } \delta_i(X) \in \mathcal{X}$ for all $i \in Q_0$. We call $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ the monomorphism category of \mathcal{X} over Q .*

If $\mathcal{X} = A\text{-mod}$ then $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X}) = \text{Mon}(Q, A)$. For $M \in kQ\text{-mod}$ and $T \in A\text{-mod}$, it is clear that if $M \in \text{Mon}(Q, k)$ then $M \otimes_k T \in \text{Mon}(Q, A)$. In particular, $P(i) \otimes_k T \in \text{Mon}(Q, A)$ for each $i \in Q_0$.

Note that $D(\Lambda_\Lambda) \cong D(kQ_{kQ}) \otimes_k D(A_A)$ as left Λ -modules. We need the following fact.

Lemma 2.3. ([LZ, Proposition 2.4]) *Let $\text{Ind}\mathcal{P}(A)$ (resp. $\text{Ind}\mathcal{I}(A)$) denote the set of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable projective (resp. injective) A -modules. Then*

$$\text{Ind}\mathcal{P}(\Lambda) = \{P(i) \otimes_k P \mid i \in Q_0, P \in \text{Ind}\mathcal{P}(A)\} \subseteq \text{Mon}(Q, A),$$

and

$$\text{Ind}\mathcal{I}(\Lambda) = \{I(i) \otimes_k I \mid i \in Q_0, I \in \text{Ind}\mathcal{I}(A)\}.$$

In particular, for $M \in kQ\text{-mod}$ we have $\text{proj.dim}(M \otimes_k A) \leq 1$, and $\text{inj.dim}(M \otimes_k D(A_A)) \leq 1$.

2.4. Given $X = (X_j, X_\alpha) \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$, for each $i \in Q_0$ we have functors F_i and F_i^+ from $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ to $A\text{-mod}$, respectively induced by $F_i(X) = X_i$ and $F_i^+(X) := \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} X_{s(\alpha)}$ (if i is a source then $F_i^+(X) := 0$).

We write $\text{Coker } \delta_i(X)$ (cf. Definition 2.2 (i)) as $\text{Coker}_i(X)$. Then we have a functor $\text{Coker}_i : \Lambda\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$, explicitly given by $\text{Coker}_i(X) := X_i / \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} \text{Im } X_\alpha$ (if i is a source then

$\text{Coker}_i(X) := X_i$). So we have an exact sequence of functors $F_i^+ \xrightarrow{\delta_i} F_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} \text{Coker}_i \rightarrow 0$, i.e., we have the exact sequence of A -modules

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} X_{s(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{\delta_i(X)} X_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i(X)} \text{Coker}_i(X) \rightarrow 0$$

for each $X \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$, where $\pi_i(X)$ is the canonical map. It is clear that F_i^+ and F_i are exact, and Coker_i is right exact (by Snake Lemma). For $i, j \in Q_0$ and $T \in A\text{-mod}$, we have

$$\text{Coker}_i(P(j) \otimes_k T) = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } j = i; \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.4. *For each $i \in Q_0$, the restriction of functor Coker_i to $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is exact.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow (X_i, X_\alpha) \rightarrow (Y_i, Y_\alpha) \rightarrow (Z_i, Z_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus X_{s(\alpha)} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus Y_{s(\alpha)} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus Z_{s(\alpha)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta_i(X) & & \downarrow \delta_i(Y) & & \downarrow \delta_i(Z) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X_i & \longrightarrow & Y_i & \longrightarrow & Z_i \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Then the assertion follows from Snake Lemma since $\delta_i(Z)$ is injective. ■

Recall from [AR] that \mathcal{X} is *resolving* if \mathcal{X} contains all the projective A -modules, \mathcal{X} is closed under taking extensions, kernels of epimorphisms, and direct summands. Dually one has a *coresolving subcategory*.

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$. Then*

(i) $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ is closed under taking extensions (resp. kernels of epimorphisms, direct summands) if and only if \mathcal{X} is closed under taking extensions (resp. kernels of epimorphisms, direct summands).

(ii) $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ is resolving if and only if \mathcal{X} is resolving. In particular, $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is resolving.

Proof. (i) can be similarly proved as Lemma 2.4. For (ii), by Lemma 2.3 the branches of projective Λ -modules are projective A -modules. From this and (i) the assertion follows. ■

3. Reciprocity

3.1. This section is to prove the following reciprocity of the monomorphism operator and the left perpendicular operator.

Theorem 3.1. *Let T be an A -module such that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow D(A_A) \rightarrow 0$ with each $T_j \in \text{add}(T)$, then $\text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$.*

For $Q = \bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet$ this result has been obtained in [Z, Theorem 3.1(i)]. Since some adjoint pairs in [Z, Lemma 1.2] are not available here, the arguments in [Z] can not be generalized to the general case. Here we adopt the following adjoint pair $(\text{Coker}_i, S(i) \otimes -)$.

3.2. The following observation will be used throughout this section.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X = (X_i, X_\alpha) \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$ and $T \in A\text{-mod}$. Then for each $i \in Q_0$ we have an isomorphism of abelian groups which is natural in both positions*

$$\text{Hom}_A(\text{Coker}_i(X), T) \cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, S(i) \otimes_k T).$$

Proof. If we write $S(i) \otimes_k T \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$ as (Y_j, Y_α) , then $Y_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ and $Y_i = T$. Consider the homomorphism $\Psi : \text{Hom}_A(\text{Coker}_i(X), T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, S(i) \otimes_k T)$ given by

$$f \mapsto \Psi(f) = (g_j, j \in Q_0) : X \rightarrow S(i) \otimes_k T, \forall f \in \text{Hom}_A(\text{Coker}_i(X), T),$$

where $g_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$, and $g_i = f \pi_i(X) : X_i \rightarrow T$ with the canonical map $\pi_i(X) : X_i \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(X)$. By (2.1) it is clear that $\Psi(f) \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, S(i) \otimes_k T)$ and Ψ is surjective. It is injective since $\pi_i(X)$ is surjective. ■

3.3. We need the following fact.

Lemma 3.3. *Let T be an A -module. For each $i \in Q_0$ we have ${}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T) = {}^\perp(\bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} (S(i) \otimes_k T))$.*

Proof. Put $S = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} S(i)$, and J to be the Jacobson radical of kQ with $J^l = 0$. Let $X \in {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$. By the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J \otimes_k T \rightarrow kQ \otimes_k T \rightarrow S \otimes_k T \rightarrow 0$ we get the exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^j(X, kQ \otimes_k T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^j(X, S \otimes_k T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{j+1}(X, J \otimes_k T) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since kQ is hereditary, $J \in \text{add}(kQ)$ and hence $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^j(X, J \otimes_k T) = 0, \forall j \geq 1$. Thus $X \in {}^\perp(S \otimes_k T)$.

Conversely, let $X \in {}^\perp(S \otimes_k T)$. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J^{l-1} \otimes_k T \rightarrow J^{l-2} \otimes_k T \rightarrow (J^{l-2}/J^{l-1}) \otimes_k T \rightarrow 0$ and by $J^{l-1} \otimes_k T, J^{l-2}/J^{l-1} \otimes_k T \in \text{add}(S \otimes_k T)$ we see $X \in {}^\perp(J^{l-2} \otimes_k T)$. Continuing this process we finally see $X \in {}^\perp(J^0 \otimes_k T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$. \blacksquare

Proposition 3.4. *We have $\text{Mon}(Q, A) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k D(A_A))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 it suffices to prove the following equality, for each $i \in Q_0$:

$${}^\perp(S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) = \{X = (X_j, X_\alpha) \in \text{Rep}(Q, A) \mid \delta_i(X) \text{ is injective}\}.$$

Let P_X be the projective cover of X . Applying functor F_i^+ and F_i to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega(X) \rightarrow P_X \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F_i^+(\Omega(X)) & \longrightarrow & F_i^+(P_X) & \longrightarrow & F_i^+(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta_i(\Omega(X)) & & \downarrow \delta_i(P_X) & & \downarrow \delta_i(X) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_i(\Omega(X)) & \longrightarrow & F_i(P_X) & \longrightarrow & F_i(X) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By Snake Lemma we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \delta_i(X) \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(\Omega(X)) \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(P_X) \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(X) \rightarrow 0. \quad (*)$$

Assume that $\delta_i(X)$ is injective. Applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, D(A_A))$ to $(*)$ and by Lemma 3.2 we get the following exact sequence (with Hom omitted)

$$0 \rightarrow (X, S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) \rightarrow (P_X, S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) \rightarrow (\Omega(X), S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (**)$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A))$ to $0 \rightarrow \Omega(X) \rightarrow P_X \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (X, S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) \rightarrow (P_X, S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) \rightarrow (\Omega(X), S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(X, S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Comparing it with $(**)$ we see $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(X, S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) = 0$. By Lemma 2.3 we have $\text{inj.dim}(S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A)) \leq 1$, so $X \in {}^\perp(S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A))$.

Conversely, assume $X \in {}^\perp(S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A))$. Applying $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, S(i) \otimes_k D(A_A))$ to $0 \rightarrow \Omega(X) \rightarrow P_X \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ and using Lemma 3.2, we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{Coker}_i(X), D(A_A)) \rightarrow (\text{Coker}_i(P_X), D(A_A)) \rightarrow (\text{Coker}_i(\Omega(X)), D(A_A)) \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e., $0 \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(X) \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(P_X) \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(\Omega(X)) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Comparing it with $(*)$ we see $\text{Ker } \delta_i(X) = 0$. \blacksquare

3.4. Replacing $D(A_A)$ in Proposition 3.4 by an arbitrary A -module T , we have

Proposition 3.5. *Let T be an A -module. Then $\text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T) \cap \text{Mon}(Q, A)$.*

Proof. We first prove that for each $i \in Q_0$ there holds the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\perp(S(i) \otimes_k T) \cap \text{Mon}(Q, A) &= \{X = (X_j, X_\alpha) \in \text{Rep}(Q, A) \mid \text{Coker}_i(X) \in {}^\perp T, \\ &\quad \delta_j(X) \text{ is injective for all } j \in Q_0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Let $X \in \text{Mon}(Q, A)$ with a projective resolution $\cdots \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$. Since each P^i is in $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ (cf. Lemma 2.3) and $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is closed under taking the kernels of epimorphisms (cf. Lemma 2.5), it follows from Lemma 2.4 that we have the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(P^1) \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(P^0) \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

We claim it is a projective resolution of $\text{Coker}_i(X)$. In fact, by (2.2) we have

$$\text{Coker}_i(P(j) \otimes_k T) = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } j = i; \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

So $\text{Coker}_i(kQ \otimes_k T) = T$ and $\text{Coker}_i(kQ \otimes_k A) = A$. Thus $\text{Coker}_i(P^j)$ is a projective A -module since $P^j \in \text{add}(kQ \otimes_k A)$.

Applying $\text{Hom}(-, S(i) \otimes_k T)$ to $\cdots \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 3.2 we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (X, S(i) \otimes_k T) & \longrightarrow & (P^0, S(i) \otimes_k T) & \longrightarrow & (P^1, S(i) \otimes_k T) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\text{Coker}_i(X), T) & \longrightarrow & (\text{Coker}_i(P^0), T) & \longrightarrow & (\text{Coker}_i(P^1), T) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Note that $X \in {}^\perp(S(i) \otimes_k T)$ if and only if the upper row is exact, if and only if the lower one is exact, if and only if $\text{Coker}_i(X) \in {}^\perp T$. This proves (3.1).

Now, assume that $X \in \text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T)$. By definition and (3.1) we know $X \in {}^\perp(S(i) \otimes_k T) \cap \text{Mon}(Q, A)$ for each $i \in Q_0$. By Lemma 3.3 we know $X \in {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$ and hence $X \in {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T) \cap \text{Mon}(Q, A)$.

Conversely, assume that $X \in {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T) \cap \text{Mon}(Q, A)$. By Lemma 3.3 $X \in {}^\perp(S(i) \otimes_k T) \cap \text{Mon}(Q, A)$ for each $i \in Q_0$. To see $X \in \text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T)$, by (3.1) it remains to prove $X_i \in {}^\perp T$ for each $i \in Q_0$. For each $i \in Q_0$, set $l_i = 0$ if i is a source, and $l_i = \max\{l(p) \mid p \text{ is a path with } e(p) = i\}$ if otherwise, where $l(p)$ is the length of p . We prove $X_i \in {}^\perp T$ by using induction on l_i . If $l_i = 0$, then i is a source and $X_i = \text{Coker}_i(X) \in {}^\perp T$. Let $l_i \neq 0$. Then we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} X_{s(\alpha)} \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow \text{Coker}_i(X) \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Coker}_i(X) \in {}^\perp T$. Since $l_{s(\alpha)} < l_i$ for $\alpha \in Q_1$

and $e(\alpha) = i$, by induction $X_{s(\alpha)} \in {}^\perp T$, and hence $X_i \in {}^\perp T$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

3.5. Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Proposition 3.5 it suffices to prove ${}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T) \subseteq \text{Mon}(Q, A)$. By Proposition 3.4 it suffices to prove ${}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T) \subseteq {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k D(A_A))$. Let $X \in {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$. By assumption we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow kQ \otimes_k T_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow kQ \otimes_k T_0 \rightarrow kQ \otimes_k D(A_A) \rightarrow 0$ with each $kQ \otimes_k T_j \in \text{add}(kQ \otimes_k T)$. From this we see the assertion. \blacksquare

3.6. Let $\mathcal{GP}(A)$ denote the category of the Gorenstein-projective A -modules. If A is Gorenstein (i.e., $\text{inj.dim}_A A < \infty$ and $\text{inj.dim}_{A^e} A < \infty$), then $\mathcal{GP}(A) = {}^\perp A$ ([EJ, Corollary 11.5.3]). Note that if A is Gorenstein then so is Λ . Taking $T = {}_A A$ in Theorem 3.1 we have

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be a Gorenstein algebra. Then $\mathcal{GP}(\Lambda) = \text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{GP}(A))$.*

4. Monomorphism categories and cotilting theory

4.1. The aim of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a cotilting A -module. Then $kQ \otimes_k T$ is a unique cotilting Λ -module, up to multiplicities of indecomposable direct summands, such that $\text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$.*

For $Q = \bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet$ this result has been obtained in [Z, Theorem 3.1(ii)]. We stress that the proof in [Z] can not be generalized to the general case. Here we need to use Lemma 4.4 below, rather than a concrete construction in [Z, Lemma 3.7].

4.2. Recall that an A -module T is an r -cotilting module ([HR], [AR], [H], [Mi]) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\text{inj.dim} T \leq r$;
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_A^i(T, T) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$;
- (iii) there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow D(A_A) \rightarrow 0$ with each $T_j \in \text{add}(T)$.

For short, by \mathbf{m}_i we denote the functor $P(i) \otimes_k - : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Mon}(Q, A)$, and by \mathbf{m} we denote the functor $kQ \otimes_k - : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Mon}(Q, A)$. Then $\mathbf{m}(T) = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathbf{m}_i(T) = kQ \otimes_k T$, $\forall T \in A\text{-mod}$.

Lemma 4.2. ([LZ, Lemma 2.3]) *We have adjoint pair (\mathbf{m}_i, F_i) for each $i \in Q_0$, where functor F_i is defined in 2.4.*

We also need the following fact.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $X = (X_j, X_\alpha) \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$ and $T \in A\text{-mod}$. Then we have an isomorphism of abelian groups for each $i \in Q_0$, which is natural in both positions*

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^s(\mathbf{m}_i(T), X) \cong \text{Ext}_A^s(T, X_i), \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

Proof. The proof is same as in [Z, Lemma 3.4] for $Q = \bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet$. For completeness we include a justification. Taking the i -th branch of an injective resolution $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ of ${}_\Lambda X$, by Lemma 2.3 $0 \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow I_i^0 \rightarrow I_i^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ is an injective resolution of ${}_A X_i$. On the other hand by Lemma 4.2 we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{m}_i(T), X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{m}_i(T), I^0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{m}_i(T), I^1) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(T, X_i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(T, I_i^0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(T, I_i^1) \longrightarrow \cdots, \end{array}$$

from this we see the assertion. ■

4.3. Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$. Following [AR] let $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ denote the full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$ consisting of those A -modules X such that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_m \rightarrow X_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with each $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$. Recall that \mathcal{X} is *self-orthogonal* if $\text{Ext}_A^s(M, N) = 0$, $\forall M, N \in \mathcal{X}$, $\forall s \geq 1$. In this case $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{X}^\perp = \{X \in A\text{-mod} \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(M, X) = 0, \forall M \in \mathcal{X}, \forall i \geq 1\}$.

The following fact is of independent interest. It is a key step in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathcal{X} be a self-orthogonal full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$. Then*

- (i) $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under taking cokernels of monomorphisms.
- (ii) $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under taking extensions.
- (iii) If \mathcal{X} is closed under taking kernels of epimorphisms, then so is $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

Proof. (i) Let $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with $X, Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. By definition there exist exact sequences $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{c_0} X \rightarrow 0$, and $0 \rightarrow Y_n \rightarrow Y_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} Y \rightarrow 0$ with $X_i, Y_i \in \mathcal{X} \cup \{0\}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$. Since \mathcal{X} is self-orthogonal, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces a chain map $f^\bullet : X^\bullet \rightarrow Y^\bullet$, where X^\bullet is the complex $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow 0$, and similarly for Y^\bullet . Consider the following commutative diagram in the bounded derived category $D^b(A)$, with two rows being distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X^\bullet & \xrightarrow{f^\bullet} & Y^\bullet & \longrightarrow & \text{Con}(f^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & X^\bullet[1] \\ \downarrow c_0 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow \vdots & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X[1] \end{array}$$

(note that the lower row is also a distinguished triangle since $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ is exact), where $\text{Con}(f^\bullet)$ is the mapping cone $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \oplus Y_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \oplus Y_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} Y_0 \rightarrow 0$. Since c_0 and d_0 are isomorphisms in $D^b(A)$, we have $Z \cong \text{Con}(f^\bullet)$ in $D^b(A)$. It follows that the i -th cohomology group of $\text{Con}(f^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the i -th cohomology group of the stalk complex Z for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular $\text{Con}(f^\bullet)$ is exact except at the 0-th position, and $Y_0/\text{Im } \partial \cong Z$. Thus

$$0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \oplus Y_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \oplus Y_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} Y_0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. This proves $Z \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$.

(iii) can be similarly proved, and (ii) can be proved by a version of Horse-shoe Lemma. We omit the details. (Only (i) will be needed in the proof of Theorem 4.1.) \blacksquare

Lemma 4.5. *Let T be an r -cotilting A -module. Then $kQ \otimes_k T$ is an $(r+1)$ -cotilting Λ -module with $\text{End}_\Lambda(kQ \otimes_k T) \cong (kQ \otimes_k \text{End}_A(T))^{\text{op}}$.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I_r \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal injective resolution of T . Then we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow kQ \otimes_k T \rightarrow kQ \otimes_k I_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow kQ \otimes_k I_r \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.3 $\text{inj.dim}(kQ \otimes_k I_j) \leq 1$, $0 \leq j \leq r$, it follows that $\text{inj.dim}(kQ \otimes_k T) \leq r+1$.

Since the branch $(kQ \otimes_k T)_i$ is a direct sum of copies of T , by Lemma 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^s(kQ \otimes_k T, kQ \otimes_k T) &= \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^s(\mathbf{m}_i(T), kQ \otimes_k T) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \text{Ext}_A^s(T, (kQ \otimes_k T)_i) = 0, \quad \forall s \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, put $\mathcal{X} = \text{add}(kQ \otimes_k T)$. To see that $kQ \otimes_k T$ is a cotilting Λ -module, it remains to claim $D(\Lambda_\Lambda) \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, i.e., $D(kQ_{kQ}) \otimes_k D(A_A) \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$. In fact, since $\text{proj.dim } D(kQ_{kQ}) = 1$, we have an

exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow D(kQ_{kQ}) \rightarrow 0$ with P_0, P_1 being projective kQ -modules. So we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_1 \otimes_k D(A_A) \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_k D(A_A) \rightarrow D(kQ_{kQ}) \otimes_k D(A_A) \rightarrow 0$. Since T is a cotilting A -module, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow D(A_A) \rightarrow 0$ with each $T_j \in \text{add}(T)$. So we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_i \otimes_k T_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_i \otimes_k T_0 \rightarrow P_i \otimes_k D(A_A) \rightarrow 0$, where $i = 0, 1$, with each $P_i \otimes_k T_j \in \text{add}(kQ \otimes_k T)$. Thus $P_0 \otimes_k D(A_A) \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $P_1 \otimes_k D(A_A) \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}$. By Lemma 4.4(i) we have $D(kQ_{kQ}) \otimes_k D(A_A) \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}$.

Finally, by Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{m}_i(T), \mathbf{m}_j(T)) \cong \text{Hom}_A(T, (\mathbf{m}_j(T))_i) = (\text{End}_A(T))^{m_{ji}},$$

where m_{ji} is the number of paths of Q from j to i . Thus one can easily see that there is an algebra isomorphism

$$\text{End}_\Lambda(kQ \otimes_k T) \cong \bigoplus_{i,j \in Q_0} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{m}_i(T), \mathbf{m}_j(T)) \cong (kQ \otimes_k \text{End}_A(T))^{op}.$$

(In fact, if we label the vertices of Q as $1, \dots, n$, such that if there is an arrow from j to i then $j > i$. Then

$$kQ \cong \begin{pmatrix} k & k^{m_{21}} & k^{m_{31}} & \cdots & k^{m_{n1}} \\ 0 & k & k^{m_{32}} & \cdots & k^{m_{n2}} \\ 0 & 0 & k & \cdots & k^{m_{n3}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & k \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n},$$

and hence

$$kQ \otimes_k \text{End}_A(T) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \text{End}_A(T) & \text{End}_A(T)^{m_{21}} & \text{End}_A(T)^{m_{31}} & \cdots & \text{End}_A(T)^{m_{n1}} \\ 0 & \text{End}_A(T) & \text{End}_A(T)^{m_{32}} & \cdots & \text{End}_A(T)^{m_{n2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \text{End}_A(T) & \cdots & \text{End}_A(T)^{m_{n3}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \text{End}_A(T) \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}.$$

This completes the proof. ■

4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.5 $kQ \otimes_k T$ is a cotilting Λ -module, and by Theorem 3.1 $\text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$.

If L is another cotilting Λ -module such that ${}^\perp L = \text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$, then

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^s((kQ \otimes_k T) \oplus L, (kQ \otimes_k T) \oplus L) = 0, \quad \forall s \geq 1,$$

so $(kQ \otimes_k T) \oplus L$ is also a cotilting Λ -module. By [H] the number of pairwise non-isomorphic direct summands of $(kQ \otimes_k T) \oplus L$ is equal to the one of $kQ \otimes_k T$, from which the proof is completed. ■

5. Contravariantly finiteness of monomorphism categories

5.1. Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$ and $M \in A\text{-mod}$. Recall from [AR] that a *right \mathcal{X} -approximation* of M is an A -map $f : X \rightarrow M$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$, such that the induced homomorphism $\text{Hom}_A(X', X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X', M)$ is surjective for $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. If every A -module M admits a right \mathcal{X} -approximation, then \mathcal{X} is *contravariantly finite* in $A\text{-mod}$. Dually one has the concept of a *covariantly finite subcategory*. If \mathcal{X} is both contravariantly and covariantly finite, then \mathcal{X} is *functorially finite* in $A\text{-mod}$. Due to H. Krause and Ø. Solberg [KS, Corollary 0.3], a resolving

contravariantly finite subcategory is functorially finite, and a coresolving covariantly finite subcategory is functorially finite. Due to M. Auslander and S. O. Smalø [AS, Theorem 2.4], a functorially finite subcategory which is closed under taking extensions has Auslander-Reiten sequences.

5.2. Auslander-Reiten [AR, Theorem 5.5(a)] claim that \mathcal{X} is resolving and contravariantly finite with $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = A\text{-mod}$ if and only if $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp T$ for some cotilting A -module T , where $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is defined in 4.3.

As an application of Theorem 4.1 and [AR, Theorem 5.5(a)], we have

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$. Then $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ is a resolving contravariantly finite subcategory in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ with $\widehat{\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})} = \Lambda\text{-mod}$ if and only if \mathcal{X} is a resolving contravariantly finite subcategory in $A\text{-mod}$ with $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = A\text{-mod}$.*

In particular, $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is functorially finite in $\text{Rep}(Q, A)$, and $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ has Auslander-Reiten sequences.

Proof. If \mathcal{X} is resolving and contravariantly finite with $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = A\text{-mod}$, then by [AR, Theorem 5.5(a)] there is a cotilting module T such that $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp T$. By Theorem 4.1 $kQ \otimes_k T$ is a cotilting Λ -module and $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X}) = \text{Mon}(Q, {}^\perp T) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes_k T)$, again by [AR, Theorem 5.5(a)] we know that $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ is resolving and contravariantly finite with $\widehat{\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})} = \Lambda\text{-mod}$.

Conversely, assume that $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ is resolving and contravariantly finite with $\widehat{\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})} = \Lambda\text{-mod}$. By Lemma 2.5 \mathcal{X} is resolving. To see that \mathcal{X} is contravariantly finite, we take a sink in Q_0 , say vertex 1, and consider functor $\mathbf{m}_1 : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Mon}(Q, A)$ (cf. 4.2). For $M \in A\text{-mod}$, since 1 is a sink, $\mathbf{m}_1(M)$ has only one non-zero branch and its 1-st branch is just M . Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{m}_1(M)$ be a right $\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})$ -approximation. Then $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow M$ is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation (one can easily see this, for example, by Lemma 4.2. We omit the details). By the same argument we see $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = A\text{-mod}$ since $\widehat{\text{Mon}(Q, \mathcal{X})} = \Lambda\text{-mod}$. This completes the proof. ■

6. Finiteness of monomorphism categories

As an application of Theorem 4.1 and Auslander's classical idea [Au, Chapter III], we describe the monomorphism categories which are of finite type.

6.1. An additive full subcategory \mathcal{X} of $A\text{-mod}$, which is closed under direct summands, is of finite type if there are only finitely many isomorphism class of indecomposable A -modules in \mathcal{X} .

An A -module M is an A -generator if each projective A -module is in $\text{add}(M)$. A Λ -generator M is a generator and relative cogenerator of $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ if $M \in \text{Mon}(Q, A)$ and $kQ \otimes_k D(A_A) \in \text{add}(M)$.

Theorem 6.1. *$\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is of finite type if and only if there is a generator and relative cogenerator M of $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ such that $\text{gl.dim End}_\Lambda(M) \leq 2$.*

6.2. Let M be a Λ -module. For an arbitrary Λ -module X , denote by $\Omega_M(X)$ the kernel of a minimal right $\text{add}(M)$ -approximation $M' \rightarrow X$ of X . Define $\Omega_M^0(X) = X$, and $\Omega_M^i(X) = \Omega_M(\Omega_M^{i-1}(X))$ for $i \geq 1$. Define $\text{rel.dim}_M X$ to be the minimal non-negative integer d such that $\Omega_M^d(X) \in \text{add}(M)$, or ∞ if otherwise. The following fact is well known.

Lemma 6.2. (M. Auslander) *Let M be an A -module with $\Gamma = (\text{End}_A(M))^{op}$. Then for each A -module X we have $\text{proj.dim}_\Gamma \text{Hom}_A(M, X) \leq \text{rel.dim}_M X$. Furthermore, if M is a generator, then equality holds.*

For an A -module T , denote by \mathcal{X}_T the full subcategory of $A\text{-mod}$ given by

$$\{X \mid \exists \text{ an exact sequence } 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow T_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} T_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \cdots, \text{ with } T_i \in \text{add}(T), \text{ Ker } d_i \in {}^\perp T, \forall i \geq 0\}.$$

Not that $\mathcal{X}_T \subseteq {}^\perp T$, and $\mathcal{X}_T = {}^\perp T$ if T is a cotilting module ([AR, Theorem 5.4(b)]).

Lemma 6.3. *Let M be an A -generator with $\Gamma = (\text{End}_A(M))^{op}$, and $T \in \text{add}(M)$. Then for each A -module $X \in \mathcal{X}_T$ and $X \notin \text{add}(T)$, there is a Γ -module Y such that $\text{proj.dim}_\Gamma Y = 2 + \text{proj.dim}_\Gamma \text{Hom}_A(M, X)$.*

Proof. This is well-known. For completeness we include a proof. By $X \in \mathcal{X}_T$ there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{u} T_0 \xrightarrow{v} T_1$ with $T_0, T_1 \in \text{add}(T) \subseteq \text{add}(M)$. This yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, X) \xrightarrow{u_*} \text{Hom}_A(M, T_0) \xrightarrow{v_*} \text{Hom}_A(M, T_1) \longrightarrow \text{Coker } v_* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note the image of v_* is not projective (otherwise, u_* splits, then we have an A -map $u' : T_0 \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{Hom}_A(M, u'u) = \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Id}_X)$. Since M is an A -generator, we then get $u'u = \text{Id}_X$. This contradicts with $X \in \text{add}(T)$). Putting $Y = \text{Coker } v_*$, we have $\text{proj.dim}_\Gamma Y = 2 + \text{proj.dim}_\Gamma \text{Hom}_A(M, X)$. \blacksquare

6.3. Proof of Theorem 6.1. This is same as [Z, 5.3]. For completeness we include a proof.

Assume that $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is of finite type. Then there is a Λ -module M such that $\text{Mon}(Q, A) = \text{add}(M)$. Since $kQ \otimes_k D(A_A) \in \text{Mon}(Q, A)$, and $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ contains all the projective Λ -modules, by definition M is a generator and relative cogenerator of $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$. Put $\Gamma = (\text{End}_A(M))^{op}$. For every Γ -module Y , take a projective presentation $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M_1) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M_0) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$ of Y , where $M_1, M_0 \in \text{add}(M)$, and $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_0$ is a Λ -map. Since $\text{inj.dim}(kQ \otimes D(A_A)) = 1$ (Lemma 2.3) and $M_1 \in \text{Mon}(Q, A) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes D(A_A))$ (Proposition 3.4), it follows that $\text{Ker } f \in {}^\perp(kQ \otimes D(A_A)) = \text{add}(M)$. Thus

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, \text{Ker } f) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M_0) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of Γ -module of Y , i.e., $\text{proj.dim}_\Gamma Y \leq 2$. This proves $\text{gl.dim}_\Gamma Y \leq 2$, and hence $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_\Lambda(M) = \text{gl.dim } \Gamma \leq 2$.

Conversely, assume that there is a generator and relative cogenerator M of $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ such that $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_\Lambda(M) \leq 2$. Put $\Gamma = (\text{End}_A(M))^{op}$. Then $\text{gl.dim } \Gamma \leq 2$. We claim that $\text{add}(M) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes D(A_A))$, and hence by Proposition 3.4 $\text{Mon}(Q, A) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes D(A_A)) = \text{add}(M)$, i.e., $\text{Mon}(Q, A)$ is of finite type. In fact, since $M \in \text{Mon}(Q, A) = {}^\perp(kQ \otimes D(A_A))$, it follows that $\text{add}(M) \subseteq {}^\perp(kQ \otimes D(A_A))$. On the other hand, let $X \in {}^\perp(kQ \otimes D(A_A))$. By Theorem 4.1 $kQ \otimes D(A_A)$ is a cotilting Λ -module, and hence ${}^\perp(kQ \otimes D(A_A)) = \mathcal{X}_{kQ \otimes D(A_A)}$, by [AR, Theorem 5.4(b)]. We divide into two cases. If $X \in \text{add}(kQ \otimes D(A_A))$, then $X \in \text{add}(M)$ since by assumption $kQ \otimes D(A_A) \in \text{add}(M)$. If $X \notin \text{add}(kQ \otimes D(A_A))$, then by Lemma 6.3 there is a Γ -module Y such that $\text{proj.dim}_\Gamma Y = 2 + \text{proj.dim}_\Gamma \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, X)$. Now by Lemma 6.2 we have

$$\text{rel.dim}_M X = \text{proj.dim}_\Gamma \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, X) = \text{proj.dim}_\Gamma Y - 2 \leq 0,$$

this means $X \in \text{add}(M)$. This proves the claim and hence completes the proof. ■

REFERENCES

- [A] D. M. Arnold, Abelian groups and representations of finite partially ordered sets, CMS Books Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [Au] M. Auslander, Representation dimension of artin algebras, Queen Mary College Math. Notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1971; also in: I. Reiten, S. Smalø, Ø. Solberg (Eds.), Selected works of Maurice Auslander, Part 1 (II), Amer. Math. Soc., 1999, 505-574.
- [AB] M. Auslander, M. Bridger, Stable module theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 94., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.
- [AR] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories, Adv. Math. 86(1991) 111-152.
- [AS] M. Auslander, S. O. Smalø, Almost split sequences in subcategories, J. Algebra 69(1981) 426-454.
- [B] G. Birkhoff, Subgroups of abelian groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. II, Ser. (2) 38 (1934) 385-401.
- [C1] X. W. Chen, The stable monomorphism category of a Frobenius category, Math. Res. Lett. 18(1)(2011) 125-137.
- [C2] X. W. Chen, Three results on Frobenius categories, Math. Z. 270 (1-2) (2012) 43 - 58.
- [EJ] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, Relative homological algebra, de Gruyter Exp. Math. vol. 30. Walter De Gruyter Co., 2000.
- [H] D. Happel, Triangulated categories in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. vol. 119, Cambridge Uni. Press, 1988.
- [HR] D. Happel, C.M. Ringel, Tilted algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274 (2) (1982) 399-443.
- [KLM1] D. Kussin, H. Lenzing, H. Meltzer, Nilpotent operators and weighted projective lines, to appear in: J. Reine Angew. Math. (Doi No. 10.1515/crelle-2012-0014), available in arXiv: 1002.3797.
- [KLM2] D. Kussin, H. Lenzing, H. Meltzer, Triangle singularities, ADE-chains, and weighted projective lines, to appear in: Adv. Math., available in arXiv: 1203.5505.
- [KS] H. Krause, O. Solberg, Applications of cotorsion pairs, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 68 (3) (2003) 631-650.
- [LZ] X. H. Luo, P. Zhang, Monic representations and Gorenstein-projective modules, available in arXiv 1110.6021.
- [Mi] T. Miyashita, Tilting modules of finite projective dimension, Math. Z. 193 (1986) 113-146.
- [Mo] A. Moore, The Auslander and Ringel-Tachikawa theorem for submodule embeddings, Comm. Algebra 38(2010) 3805-3820.
- [RW] F. Richman, E. A. Walker, Subgroups of p^5 -bounded groups, in: Abelian groups and modules, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999, pp. 55-73.
- [RS1] C. M. Ringel, M. Schmidmeier, Submodules categories of wild representation type, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 205(2)(2006) 412-422.
- [RS2] C. M. Ringel, M. Schmidmeier, The Auslander-Reiten translation in submodule categories, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360(2)(2008) 691-716.
- [RS3] C. M. Ringel, M. Schmidmeier, Invariant subspaces of nilpotent operators I, J. rein angew. Math. 614 (2008) 1-52.
- [RZ] C. M. Ringel, P. Zhang, Representations of quivers over the algebras of dual numbers, available in arXiv 1112.1924.
- [S] D. Simson, Representation types of the category of subprojective representations of a finite poset over $K[t]/(t^m)$ and a solution of a Birkhoff type problem, J. Algebra 311(2007) 1-30.
- [SW] D. Simson, M. Wojewodzki, An algorithmic solution of a Birkhoff type problem, Fundamenta Informaticae 83(2008) 389-410.
- [XZZ] B. L. Xiong, P. Zhang, Y. H. Zhang, Auslander-Reiten translations in monomorphism categories, Forum Math. (DOI No. 10.1515/forum-2011-0003), available in arXiv: 1101.4113.
- [Z] P. Zhang, Monomorphism categories, cotilting theory, and Gorenstein-projective modules, J. Algebra 339(2011) 180-202.